

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,151	05/29/2001	Richard R. Dziekan JR.	039362-0061	7471
27774	7590 12/15/2004		EXAM	INER
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD	WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/867,151	DZIEKAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven P Sax	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 September 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Applet the priority documents have been re	plication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action f	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0-948) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of Initial Control of Con				

Application/Control Number: 09/867,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

Detailed Action

1. This application has been examined. The RCE and amendment filed 9/15/04 have been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis, Jr. et al. (5867483) and MacMullen (6484124).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Ennis discloses a system and method for graphically representing information of the operation of a communication system for a user monitoring the performance of the system as follows:

a graphical user interface that <u>simultaneously</u> displays information representative of the operation of the system at a plurality of **test points** to the user (Abstract, Fig. 11);

a plurality of different bandwidths <u>simultaneously</u> presented to the user for each of the test points "The probe is connected to a packetized data network to

Art Unit: 2174

monitor network activity, while the console is in communication with the probe via a communications medium", and "For each sampling interval, the probe measures the access channel and individual circuit bandwidth utilization and increments the appropriate counters associated with the percentage ranges encompassing the measured bandwidth utilizations. The console polls the probe for the percentage counter data to selectively display the access channel or individual circuit bandwidth utilization in the form of a bar graph and pie chart" (Fig. 11, column 9 lines 5-35, column 15 lines 20-45), the plurality of different bandwidths displaying information representative of the operation of the system, and a graphical image representative of the operation of the system at the given test point for each bandwidth "A console in communication with the probe polls the probe after a predetermined time period, or upon user request, to retrieve data collected by the probe. The probe determines the number of bits transmitted on specified transmission circuits and an individual access channel for each predetermined sampling interval. preferably set for one second. A series of counters is utilized by the probe to collect bandwidth utilization for the access channel and the individual circuits wherein each counter represents a different bandwidth utilization percentage (i.e., the percentage of the bandwidth capacity utilized) range" (col.10 lines 48-57, Figs.13,15). Ennis do not disclose that the information displayed for each test point is specifically the signal to noise ratio at the given test point for each bandwidth, but does disclose communication data related to the bandwidth for effective monitoring of

Application/Control Number: 09/867,151

Art Unit: 2174

performance. Furthermore, MacMullen shows information of signal to noise ratio at the given test point for each bandwidth, to present communication data related to the bandwidth for effective monitoring of performance (column 10 lines 25-45, column 12 lines 10-35, column 15 lines 45-67, column 16 lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Ennis, because it would allow effective monitoring of performance in a communication related device.

Page 4

5. Regarding claims 2-3, in addition to what is recited in claim 1, Ennis discloses "The present invention pertains to monitoring <u>data transmission through communications</u> systems. In particular, the present invention pertains to monitoring methods and apparatus for measuring and displaying peak throughput in data transmission systems to assess

bandwidth utilization for an entire access channel or individual transmission circuits" (col.1 lines 7-17), and " The data transmission system typically includes conventional telecommunications line types, such as T3, OC-3, North American T1 (1.544 Mbits/second), CCITT (variable rate), 56K or 64K North American Digital Dataphone Service (DDS), and a variety of data communications connections, such as V.35, RS-449, EIA 530, X.21 and RS-232. (col.7 lines 6-12). Thus, a telephony system / a data system inherently in the scope of Ennis's communication system as mentioned above.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,151

Page 5

Art Unit: 2174

- 6. Regarding claims 4-5, in addition to what is recited in claim 2, Ennis's communication system inherently has a broadband telephony system /data system "The data transmission system typically includes conventional telecommunications line types, such as T3, OC-3, North American T1 (1.544 Mbits/second), CCITT (variable rate), 56K or 64K North American Digital Dataphone Service "(col.7 lines 6-10).
- Regarding claim 6, in addition to what is recited in claim 1, Ennis discloses for each bandwidth associated with a given test point, a graphical image representative of the signal-to-noise ratio of the system at the given test point is presented to the user (see Appendix A, cols. 27-28).
- 8. Regarding claim 7, in addition to what is recited in claim 6, Ennis discloses for each bandwidth associated with a given test point, a shading (first color) is presented to the user if the signal-to-noise ratio of the system at the given test point exceeds a predetermined threshold, and at least one further shading (color) is presented to the user if the signal-to-noise ratio of the system at the given test point fails to exceed the predetermined threshold "For example, the fifteen minute interval starting approximately at 22:52 and ending at 22:07 has an access channel bandwidth utilization predominately in the 91%-100% range based on the height of the

concatenated bar coded utilizing code 57 to indicate the 91%-100% range. Typically, the shades from green to yellow represent codes 53-55 (i.e., 0-60%), while the shades from yellow to red represent codes 55-57 (i.e., 41-100%), however, any color scheme or other indicia may be utilized to distinguish the percentage ranges" (col.16 lines 33-42).

- 9. Claims 8-14 show the same features as recited in claims 1-7 respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons as those claims.
- 10. Claim 15 shows the same features as claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.
- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 571-272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,151 Page 7

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1